Chairman Royce, Chairwoman Ros-Lehtinen, distinguished members of the Subcommittees:

Thank you for your invitation to appear before you today. It is a privilege to discuss the capabilities of the Hezbollah terrorist organization, and the worldwide threat now posed by this group.

It is not possible to understand Hezbollah without exploring the group’s relationship with its midwife and chief sponsor, the Islamic Republic of Iran. Today, Hezbollah may have carved out a unique role in regional politics, simultaneously styling itself as the region’s preeminent “resistance” organization and a legitimate Lebanese political party. Yet ideologically, economically and politically, its fortunes remain intimately tied to those of the Iranian regime.

IDEOLOGY
Hezbollah represents the first and most successful example of Iran’s central foreign policy principle: the exportation of the Islamic Revolution. As the organization’s ideological platform, articulated publicly for the first time in 1985, made clear: “We view the Iranian regime as the vanguard and new nucleus of the leading Islamic State in the world. We abide by the orders of one single wise and just leadership, represented by “Wali Faqih” [rule of the jurisprudent] and personified by Khomeini.”

More than two decades later, the ideological bonds between Hezbollah and Tehran remain deep and durable, with Hezbollah’s spiritual guide, Hassan Nasrallah, publicly
pledging allegiance to—and serving as a personal emissary of—Iranian Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei.2

FINANCING
According to U.S. officials, the Iranian regime is the “central banker of terrorism,” spending hundreds of millions of dollars annually on support for radical groups and movements throughout the world.3 A large portion of those funds go toward sustaining and supporting its principal terrorist proxy. For years, the U.S. intelligence community has estimated that Iran provides some $100 million—and perhaps closer to $200 million—annually to Hezbollah.4 These funds go to support a broad range of initiatives, ranging from the organization’s dedicated television channel, Al-Manar, to Hezbollah’s foreign presence.

TRAINING
Iran’s powerful clerical army, the Pasdaran, was responsible for the creation of Hezbollah in 1982, as part of Iranian assistance to Syria in the arming and training of the Lebanese resistance as a hedge against Israel.5 Ever since, the Iranian regime has had a significant presence “on the ground” in Lebanon, providing oversight of and assistance to Hezbollah’s day-to-day operations.6 This foothold has significantly augmented Hezbollah’s indigenous know-how, and perhaps even its warfighting capabilities; hundreds of Pasdaran members are believed to have been involved in the recent fighting against Israel.7

Hezbollah, in turn, has passed along this know-how to other terrorist actors. As long ago as the early 1990s, the organization is known to have provided explosives training to al-Qaeda, as well as to Egypt’s al-Jihad organization, as long ago as the early 1990s.8 Hezbollah has also become a significant ally of the Palestinian Hamas movement; in March 2004, with Iran’s support, the two organizations signed an unprecedented strategic accord expanding tactical cooperation and coordination.9

LOGISTICS
As part of its cooperation with Hezbollah, the Islamic Republic of Iran remains intimately involved in the planning and execution of the organization’s terror activities. The principal conduit for these contacts is believed to be Imad Mughniyeh, the shadowy head of Hezbollah’s “special operations.” Mughniyeh is said to be an agent of Iran’s Ministry of Intelligence and Security (MOIS), carrying out his operations with MOIS backing and support.10 This interaction is ongoing. As recently as January 2006, Mughniyeh is believed to have traveled with Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad to Damascus, Syria—and to have facilitated a one-day meeting there between
Ahmadinejad and top leaders of Hezbollah, the Palestinian Islamic Jihad and the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine-General Command. It is also institutional in nature, and sustained at the highest levels of the regime. At one time, current Iranian Defense Minister Mostafa Najar directed the Pasdaran's elite Qods Force and oversaw the Islamic Republic’s contacts with Hezbollah.

**WEAPONRY**

Iran is Hezbollah’s principal military supplier, responsible for establishing and preserving the organization’s substantial strategic capabilities. Iran, in cooperation with Syria, has delivered thousands of Katyusha artillery rockets, as well as hundreds of Iranian-made *Fajr-5* short-range missiles, to the terrorist group over the past several years—weapons that were instrumental in Hezbollah’s robust military showing against Israel during hostilities in the summer of 2006. Tehran has also aided and abetted Hezbollah’s efforts to acquire weapons of mass destruction, providing oversight and assistance to Hezbollah’s efforts to arm its arsenal of short-range missiles with chemical warheads.

**SECURITY GUARANTEES**

Iran has even gone so far as to place Hezbollah under its direct protection. In early 2004, Iran’s then-Defense Minister, Ali Shamkhani, signed a “memorandum of understanding” with Syria codifying Iran’s commitment to defend the Ba’athist state in the event of an Israeli or American offensive. Shamkhani subsequently made clear to Hezbollah’s top leadership that these guarantees also extend to the terrorist group’s stronghold, Lebanon. (Notably, however, Iran has not acted upon this pledge, despite serious questions about Hezbollah’s survivability during the opening phases of the most recent Lebanon war).

**HEZBOLLAH ASCENDANT**

Four years ago, no less senior an official than then-Deputy Secretary of State Richard Armitage dubbed Hezbollah the premier terrorist threat to international peace and security. “Hezbollah may be the ‘A team’ of terrorists,” Armitage told a Washington conference at the time. “Maybe al-Qaeda is actually the ‘B team.’” Armitage’s assessment reflects a long-standing consensus in the U.S. intelligence community: Hezbollah is a terrorist group with truly global reach, and extensive asymmetric capabilities, thanks in large part to its strategic partnership with Tehran.

That estimate is even more accurate today. The War on Terror so far has done nothing to diminish Hezbollah’s international stature. To the contrary, over the past
five years Iran has deepened its assistance to the Shi’ite militia, enabling the group to commence a landmark strategic expansion. This has included:

**GREATER GLOBAL PRESENCE**

Hezbollah has significantly widened its international presence in recent years.

In the West Bank and Gaza Strip, Hezbollah has begun a systematic takeover of Palestinian terrorist groups, co-opting secular nationalist terrorist groups and creating an elaborate smuggling network designed to arm its growing cadres. According to the estimates of Israel’s Shin Bet internal security service, the Lebanese Shi’ite militia directed over 50 separate Palestinian terror cells in 2004—a seven-fold increase since 2002. And, just weeks after the end of its month-long war with Israel, Hezbollah appears to have launched a new, more subtle terrorist campaign. According to Yuval Diskin, the head of Israel's Shin Bet internal security service, the radical Shi’ite militia has stepped up its efforts to train and arm militants in the Palestinian Authority-controlled Gaza Strip. Diskin told a closed door session of the Knesset’s foreign affairs and defense committee in late August that Hezbollah's efforts are assisting a massive arms build-up by militants in the Palestinian Territories.

With Iran’s blessing, Hezbollah has also established an extensive presence in Iraq, with offices in such urban centers as Nasariah, Basra, and Safwan, and has begun substantial recruitment efforts. This infiltration has been so successful that the Lebanese Shi’ite militia is said to have assumed police duties in some Iraqi cities.

Likewise, while Hezbollah has been active in Europe since the mid-1980s, the size and pace of the organization’s activity on the continent appear to be increasing. According to counterterrorism expert Matthew Levitt, Europe serves as a “launching pad” for Hezbollah; a base from which to initiate operations against Israel and to conduct surveillance of Western targets. Germany has emerged as a country of particular focus in this regard. In the summer of 2002, Germany’s Federal Office for the Protection of the Constitution went public with news that the organization was actively seeking real estate in Berlin to establish a headquarters and a “training centre” for its supporters in the country, then estimated at about 800. Today, that number has expanded considerably; according to German sources, Hezbollah now controls as many as five Islamic centers, financed by Iranian funds funneled through the Islamic Republic’s embassy in Germany, in the North Rhine-Westphalia region alone, as well as a nationwide network of as many as 1,000 operatives.

**STRONGER TERRORIST TIES**

Simultaneously, Hezbollah has deepened its alliances with other terrorist organizations considerably. This collaboration has included assisting elements of al-Qaeda to put down roots in Lebanon, helping Hamas in the development of an
indigenous missile capability, and coordinating anti-Israeli and anti-American activities with an assortment of extremist groups.\textsuperscript{25}

**GREATER PUBLIC OUTREACH**

Although today’s international terrorist organizations have become increasingly media- and Internet-savvy, it was Hezbollah that originally pioneered the field of terrorist public outreach. Since its founding in 1991 with seed money from Iran, Hezbollah’s dedicated television station, *Al-Manar*, has become a media powerhouse, broadcasting around the clock via satellite to an estimated 10 to 15 million viewers daily throughout the world.\textsuperscript{26} And, while European nations have undertaken a major effort to silence *Al-Manar* in recent months, the station has proven resilient. *Al-Manar* still claims to have the ability to reach a potential 200 million viewers worldwide,\textsuperscript{27} providing Hezbollah with a global platform from which to spread its radical message.

**FUTURE ROLE**

Back in the year 2000, Israel’s withdrawal from its long-established security zone in southern Lebanon created a political vacuum in a 350-square mile area on its northern border. Hezbollah was positioned to quickly fill this void, in the process becoming the area’s dominant political and strategic force and leading many to conclude that the organization would soon give up its arms and turn its attention to development and civil society.

As the recent month-long Lebanon war has shown, however, Hezbollah’s new political role has done little to soften the organization’s radicalism. Today, the organization boasts virtual autonomy in what some have termed “Hizballahland”\textsuperscript{28} and occupies no fewer than fourteen of the Lebanese parliament’s 128 seats. Yet, while its day-to-day activities may focus on the banalities of civic rule and confronting its immediate enemy, Israel, Hezbollah—like its progenitor, Iran—remains ideologically committed to Khomeini’s extremist vision of a Shi’ite-dominated caliphate in the region, and of confrontation with the West.

Indeed, Hezbollah is poised to take on even greater regional significance in the near future. Ongoing U.S. difficulties in Iraq, coupled with the nuclear advances made by the Iranian regime over the past several years, have catalyzed a growing wave of Shi’a empowerment in the region. Hezbollah has been a principal beneficiary of this trend, a fact manifested most dramatically by its kidnapping of two Israeli soldiers on July 12\textsuperscript{th}—an incursion that touched off a month-long war between the organization and
Israel. Little in Hezbollah’s calculus has changed, thanks in large part to the group’s military successes against Israeli forces during recent hostilities. As one regional expert has put it, “Hizbullah is in a unique position to confront the U.S. agenda which if successful will be, by extension, a victory for Syria, Iran and Hamas.”

U.S. policymakers should keep this perception in mind as they formulate regional policy. They would also do well to remember the dictum of Hezbollah’s spiritual leader, Hassan Nasrallah: “Death to America is not a slogan. Death to America is a policy, a strategy and a vision.”
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