United States Dist	RICT COURT
for the	
Eastern District of Virginia	
	ase No. 2:17mj354
Shivam Rashmikant Patel Defendant	
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the	
 ☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U. ☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion put 	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warrant and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition	-
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Pr	resumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will and the community because the following conditions have been	I reasonably assure the safety of any other person met:
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following cr (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of im	1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. aprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
□ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is□ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of impris	•
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904) (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46	, the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more St described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this p jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such of	tate or local offenses that would have been offenses paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm of (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to	or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Fed § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and	deral offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which committed while the defendant was on release pending to	rial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since	e the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case 2.17-ci-00120-MSD-DEM Document 13 Filed 07/11/17 Page 2 of 3 PageID# 27
AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence

 $\hfill \square$ Lack of financially responsible sureties

☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
Lack of legal status in the United States	
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:	
The Court stated the reasons for detention at the hearing and the record is available for review in the event of an appeal. The Defendant is charged with making a false statement in connection with an effort to enlist in the United States military. The Defendant had previously traveled to China and Jordan, where he was arrested before being deported, but denied any foreign travel in completing his SF86 form in attempting to join the military. Following his arrest in Jordan, the Defendant's passport was stampted with a restriction permitting only travel back to the United States. While attempting to enlist, the Defendant is alleged to have presented only a photocopy of the front page of the passport, which showed neither the travel restriction nor the fact of travel to China and Jordan. When requested to provide his original passport, the Defendant is alleged to have subsequently submitted an application for a new passport on the grounds that his was lost, when it was not. At the time of these events, the Defendant was being investigated based on conduct which suggested potential efforts to provide material support to terrorism. The Defendant's parents advised the FBI that the Defendant had become obsessed with Islam and the extremist ideology of groups like ISIS. The FBI's investigation disclosed that the Defendant allegedly had expressed interest in jihad and martyrdom, and sympathy for and a desire to join ISIS. He had allegedly confided in an undercover federal agent that he wanted war between Muslims and non-Muslims, and to join in that war against non-Muslims. He expressed praise for the terror attacks in Paris, Nice and Orlando, and indicated he wanted to "do something big for Allah" but recognized he needed to blend into the community and not attract attention. Significantly, at the same time the Defendant is also alleged to have made threats against his father, who is a potential witness in this case. The Government investigation is continuing. Under these circumstances, by a preponderance of the eviden	
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention	
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	

Lawrence R. Leonard
United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

07/11/2017