1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
2	ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
5	VS. \(\) 1:10-CR-413
6) ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA) APRIL 11, 2011
7	FAROOQUE AHMED)
8)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PLEA/SENTENCING BEFORE THE HONORABLE GERALD BRUCE LEE
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
47	
17	
18	
18 19	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	
18 19 20 21 22	
18 19 20 21	Proceedings reported by stenotype, transcript produced by Julie
18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings reported by stenotype, transcript produced by Julie A. Goodwin.

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
4	By: MR. GORDON D. KROMBERG Assistant U.S. Attorney
5	2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22314
6	703.299.3700 gordon.kromberg@usdoj.gov
7	
8	
9	FOR THE DEFENDANT: OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA By: MR. KENNETH P. TROCCOLI
11	-AND- MR. TODD M. RICHMAN
12	1650 King Street Suite 500 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 703.600.0800 kenneth_troccoli@fd.org
13 14	
15	
16	
17	OFFICIAL U.S. COURT REPORTER:
18	MS. JULIE A. GOODWIN, CSR United States District Court 401 Courthouse Square Tenth Floor
19	
20	
21	012100011001
22	
23	ALSO PRESENT: MR. PAUL CASEY
24	
25	

```
(APRIL 11, 2011, 12:35 P.M., OPEN COURT.)
 1
             COURTROOM DEPUTY: 1:10-CR-413, United States versus
 2
 3
   Farooque Ahmed.
                            Good afternoon. Your Honor.
 4
             MR. KROMBERG:
   Kromberg for the United States. With me at counsel table is
 5
   Paul Casey who is a trial attorney with the Department of
6
 7
   Justice counter-terrorism section.
8
             MR. CASEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
9
             THE COURT: Good afternoon.
             MR. TROCCOLI: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
10
11
   Troccoli on behalf of Mr. Ahmed who is present. And with me is
   Todd Richman, from my office as well, and Eric Fues co-counsel
12
    from the firm of Finnegan, Henderson.
13
14
             THE COURT: Good afternoon.
             MR. FUES: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
15
             THE COURT: Mr. Ahmed, good afternoon.
16
             THE DEFENDANT:
17
                             Yes, sir.
18
             THE COURT: If you would come to the podium with your
19
    lawyers, please.
20
        (DEFENDANT COMPLIES.)
             THE COURT: Mr. Ahmed, I understand you want to plead
21
22
   quilty today. Is that right?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
24
             THE COURT: What I would like to do is I would like to
25
   review with you all the documents you have signed to make sure
```

```
you understand the documents and to make sure you understand
 1
 2
   your rights. The first thing I'll need you to do is to take
   the oath and promise to tell the truth under penalty of law
 3
   when I ask you questions.
 4
 5
             THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Please raise your right hand, sir.
6
7
        (THE OATH WAS ADMINISTERED.)
8
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ahmed, I intend to ask you
9
   questions. If at any time you have any difficulty
   understanding me, please tell me.
10
             THE DEFENDANT:
11
                             Sure.
12
             THE COURT: If at any time you want to speak to your
    lawyers about my questions, please tell me. Okay?
13
14
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Okay.
             THE COURT: All right. What is your full name?
15
16
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Farooque Ahmed.
             THE COURT: And, Mr. Ahmed, how old are you?
17
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             35.
18
19
             THE COURT: And can you read and write?
20
             THE DEFENDANT:
                            Yes, sir.
21
             THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
22
             THE DEFENDANT: I have completed master courses at
23
   university.
24
             THE COURT: And what language or languages do you
25
   speak?
```

```
1
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I speak English, Urdu, and Punjabi.
 2
             THE COURT: And how long have you been speaking
   English?
 3
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             20 years.
 4
             THE COURT: So I take it you do not need an
 5
    interpreter for the day.
6
                              Is that right?
7
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             That's correct.
8
             THE COURT: Have you had any drugs or alcohol before
9
   coming to court today?
10
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No. sir.
11
             THE COURT: Are you under the care of any mental
   health professional for any mental health problem?
12
             THE DEFENDANT:
13
                             No.
14
             THE COURT: I see that you're standing there with
   Mr. Ken Troccoli, Todd Richman, and Mr. Fues, attorneys.
15
   you understand you have the right to have an attorney defend
16
   you in your case?
17
18
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
19
             THE COURT: Have you had sufficient time to discuss
20
   the case with your lawyers?
21
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
22
             THE COURT: And have you told them everything you know
   about the case?
23
24
             THE DEFENDANT:
                            Yes.
25
             THE COURT: After discussing the case with your
```

```
lawyers, did you decide for yourself that you wanted to plead
 1
 2
   guilty?
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: Did anyone threaten you or force you to
 4
   plead guilty?
 5
             THE DEFENDANT:
6
                             No.
7
             THE COURT: Did anyone make any promise to you that by
8
   pleading guilty you will get probation or some other sentence?
9
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
10
             THE COURT: And what were you promised?
11
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I'm sorry. No. No, sir.
             THE COURT: I'm sorry?
12
             THE DEFENDANT: The answer is no, sir.
13
14
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
15
                Now I have several documents here in front of me,
16
   Mr. Ahmed, a document called Plea Agreement and a document
   called Statement of Facts. Have you had a chance to review
17
   these documents with your lawyer?
18
19
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
20
             THE COURT: And there's also a document called the
21
    indictment. Have you had a chance to review the indictment
22
   with your lawyer?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
23
24
             THE COURT: Now my understanding is today you've
   agreed to plead guilty to counts one and two of the indictment.
25
```

1 Count one charges you with attempting to provide 2 material support to a designated foreign terrorist organization. Count one says that from on or about April 18, 3 2010, continuing through at least on or about October 25, 2010, 4 within Arlington County, in the Eastern District of Virginia 5 and elsewhere, that you, Mr. Ahmed, did knowingly and 6 7 unlawfully attempt to provide material support and resources as that term is defined in Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 2339 AB, to wit, personnel and services to a foreign terrorist 10 organization, namely al-Qaeda, and that the defendant, Ahmed, 11 attempted to assist others whom he believed to be members of al-Qaeda in planning multiple bombings to cause mass casualties 12 13 at Metro stations in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. 14 And Paragraph 2 sets forth a list of occasions and events that 15 occurred between April and October. Have you had a chance to review those with your 16 17 lawyer? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 19 THE COURT: Now count two charges that in addition to 20 reasserting what was in the first count, that from on or about 21 May 15, 2010, continuing through at least on or about 22 October 25, 2010, within Arlington County, in the Eastern

knowingly and unlawfully surveil, photograph, videotape,diagram, and otherwise collect information with the intent to

23

District of Virginia and elsewhere, that you, Mr. Ahmed, did

```
plan or assist in planning the following unlawful activities.
 1
   And it sets forth a list of activities, A through C, that all
 2
   have to do with -- going over to Page 2 -- collected
 3
    information with the intent to plan and assist in planning
 4
   multiple bombings to cause mass casualties at Metro rail
 5
   stations in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area.
6
 7
                Do you understand the charges against you?
8
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
9
             THE COURT: And do you understand if the case were to
10
   go to trial, then the Government attorneys would have to
11
   present witnesses under oath, before a judge or a jury, in your
   presence, and to prove that you are guilty of these charges by
12
13
   what is called proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
14
                Do you understand that?
                            Yes, sir.
15
             THE DEFENDANT:
             THE COURT: Now, this document I have in front of me,
16
17
   Mr. Ahmed, called Plea Agreement appears to be ten pages long.
   Appears to be signed by you, Mr. Troccoli, and Mr. Kromberg on
18
19
   Page 10.
20
                Is that your signature on Page 10 of the Plea
21
   Agreement?
22
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
             THE COURT: And have you had sufficient time to review
23
24
    this Plea Agreement with your lawyer?
25
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I have.
```

THE COURT: Well, let's go over it now and let's start with Page 1, Paragraph 1.

It says here the defendant -- and that's you -- Mr. Ahmed, agrees to plead guilty to counts one and two of the indictment, charging defendant with attempting to provide material support to a designated foreign terrorist organization and collecting information to assist in planning a terrorist attack on a transit facility. The maximum term of imprisonment for count one is 15 years. The maximum term of imprisonment for count two is 20 years. The maximum penalties for each of these offenses include a fine of \$250,000, a special assessment of \$100 per count, and supervised release for life.

Do you understand these are the two charges you agreed to plead guilty to?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand the maximum punishments for each offense as I just read them to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now let's go over to Page 2, Paragraph 4.

This talks about the role of the Court and the probation officer, and it's in bold. And it acknowledges that the sentence here is going to be imposed under Rules 11 (c)(1)(C), which means that the parties have agreed, your lawyer and the Government attorney have agreed to a specific

1 sentence. And it says here you've agreed to a sentence of 276 2 months of imprisonment is the appropriate disposition of the And if I accept your plea of guilty, then this 3 case. recommendation binds me to impose that sentence. 4 Do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Now, Paragraph 5, which is on Page 3, 8 refers to waiver of appeal. And the second sentence says that you're waiving your appeal to any sentence within the statutory 10 maximum. Let me just explain to you what an appeal is. 11 Ordinarily you would have the right to have three judges of the Court of Appeals review the sentence that I give 12 you and have those three judges decide if I made some mistake 13 14 in applying the sentencing guidelines along to your case. However, by signing this written plea agreement, you're giving 15 away your right to have three judges of the Court of Appeals 16 17 review the sentence that I give you, as long as I don't exceed 18 the maximum punishment. 19 Do you understand that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Let's go over to Page 4, Paragraph 8. Page 4, Paragraph 8. 22 Paragraph 8 and 9 refer to what the Government has 23 24 agreed to give you in exchange for this plea of guilty.

Paragraph 8, they would be giving you immunity from further

25

prosecution in this district, which means the United States 1 Attorney has agreed to accept your plea of guilty to these two 2 charges and not to bring any more charges against you. 3 Do you understand that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: And have agreed to dismiss count three of 6 7 the indictment. 8 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Paragraph 10 talks about forfeiture, and 11 these are items that you've agreed to turn over to the Government, that the Government will keep and take title to, 12 and they're set forth in Paragraph 10. They refer to money and 13 14 bank accounts and a car. 15 Do you see that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: And you agree to that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 19 THE COURT: Paragraph 12 on Page 6 refers to what 20 you've agreed to do for the Government. It has to do with 21 cooperation. 22 Have you reviewed that with your lawyers? THE DEFENDANT: 23 Yes. 24 THE COURT: Paragraph 14 refers to a motion for 25 downward departure, and that refers to cooperation. If your

cooperation amounts to substantial assistance, then the Government is reserving its right in Paragraph 14 to file a written motion with the judge and telling the judge about the information. That the information you've submitted to them amounts to substantial assistance. If such a motion is filed, then I could consider a sentence that is less than the agreed-to sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: What I would like to do now, Mr. Ahmed, is discuss with you the rights you would have had you decided to go to trial on a plea of not guilty. These rights are on Page 2, Paragraph 3, the Plea Agreement. And what I propose to do is explain these rights to you and ask the lawyers to listen and to let -- the lawyers let me know if I leave any of the rights out.

I'm confident your three lawyers have explained these rights to you, and I'm not trying to talk you out of pleading guilty by telling you about your right to go to trial. The law requires a judge to explain these rights to you in open court and to make a record that you have been informed of these rights with your lawyer present, the Government attorney present, and the court reporter present.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: As I go along, if you have any questions, please tell me.

On a plea of not guilty, you are presumed to be innocent. That means you are not required to testify. You're not required to call any witnesses. Your lawyer is not required to question any witnesses because in a plea of not guilty you have the right to remain silent and to require the Government to bring witnesses to court, present those witnesses under oath before a judge or a jury, and to prove to you not guilty of charges -- prove that you are guilty of the charge by what is called proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have the right to speedy trial by jury with the right to have a lawyer defend you. The right to have a lawyer defend you applies whether you plead guilty or go to trial, and even if you cannot afford to pay and hire a lawyer, the Court will pay and hire a lawyer to defend you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The right to speedy trial by jury means you have the right to have a Court bring 50 or 60 United States citizens here to the courthouse who are not connected with the Government, not connected with you, and have you and your lawyer and have the Government attorney select from that 50 or

60, 12 to act as jurors in your case.

12 jurors will sit to your left in those chairs. It will be their job to observe the witness as the witness testifies on the witness stand where the Court Security Officer is standing now. You and your lawyer will be seated at the table to the right closest to the witness; the Government attorney at the front table to the left.

At a trial, the jury's job is to observe the witnesses, to review all the documents in evidence. At the end of the case, the jury's job is to judge, decide whether you're guilty or not guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At a trial the Government attorney will call witnesses. The witness will come into court, take the oath, and take the stand to your right, where the Court Security Officer is standing now. And the Government attorney would come to the podium where you are now. Of course, you and your lawyer would be seated closest to the witness.

The Government attorney would ask the witness questions about what took place between you and other individuals in connection with the charges set forth in the Statement of Facts: What did you do, what did you say, what took place.

Your lawyer, Mr. Troccoli, would have the right to

come to the podium and to question each Government witness, to challenge the witness's truthfulness, to point at any inconsistency in the witness's testimony, point out any reason the witness might have to color his or her testimony to seek to cause your conviction.

The Government attorney, Mr. Kromberg, would then have the right to ask follow-up questions.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: After the Government has presented all of its witnesses in that fashion, then you have the right to present witnesses. You are not required to call any witnesses. And if there are individuals in the community who knows something about the facts of your case -- they might help your case -- you have the right to have your lawyer issue a court order, require witnesses to come to court to testify for you.

Mr. Troccoli will call your witness into court, if you have one. The witness will take the oath and take the stand. Mr. Troccoli will come to the podium and ask the witness questions, bringing out facts that undermine the Government's evidence, bringing out facts that support your defense.

The Government attorney, Mr. Kromberg, will then have the right to question your witness and to challenge the witness's truthfulness, pointing out any difference between

what your witness says occurred and what the Government witness says occurred, and point out any reason your witness might have to color his or her testimony to seek to avoid your conviction.

Mr. Troccoli would then have the right to ask follow-up questions.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At a trial, you are not required to speak, and the judge and the jury could not consider if you decide not to speak. If, however, you decide you want to take the oath and take the stand, you have the right to do that. And after taking the oath and taking the stand, Mr. Troccoli will come to the podium and ask you questions, allowing you to describe in your own words what you did or did not do, what you said or did not say, what occurred from your point of view.

Mr. Kromberg would then have the right to question as well the challenge of truthfulness, pointing out any inconsistency between what you say occurred and what the Government witness say occurred, and point to any reason you might have to seek to avoid conviction. Mr. Troccoli would then ask follow-up questions.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Following your testimony, if you decide to testify, then the Government has the right to call additional

witnesses or they recall witnesses. And if they did, then the 1 Government attorney would ask questions first, Mr. Troccoli 2 would ask questions second, and the Government attorney would 3 ask questions third. 4 Do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: At the end of the case, then, the jury, the 12 who have been sitting there observing all the witnesses, would have to consider all the evidence presented to them, all 10 the testimony and documents. And if, and only if, all 11 12 jurors agree that the evidence was sufficient by what's called proof beyond a reasonable doubt, could the jury return a 12 verdict of guilty. 13 14 Do you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: If the jury thought that the Government evidence was insufficient or if the jury thought that the 17 18 testimony of the witnesses was unreliable or if the jury had 19 what's called a reasonable doubt, then the jury would be 20 required to find you not guilty and that would be the end of

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

the case.

THE COURT: And do you understand that by pleading guilty you are giving away your right to a trial by jury. We

will not have a jury trial because you're pleading guilty. 1 2 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: You also have the right to have the case 4 heard by the judge, meaning me, without a jury if you agree to 5 it, the Government attorney agrees to it, and I agree to it, in 6 which event all the witnesses would testify in front of me. 7 And at the end of the case, I would decide whether you are guilty or not guilty. 10 Do you understand that? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Now, I have another document here, Mr. 12 Ahmed, called Statement of Facts. Appears to be seven pages 13 long, and I'm showing it to you now. It appears to be signed 14 15 by you, Mr. Troccoli, and Mr. Kromberg. 16 Is that your signature on Page 7 of the Statements of Facts? 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: And have you had sufficient time to read 20 your Statement of Facts with your lawyer? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 22 THE COURT: You admit this is what you did? THE DEFENDANT: 23 Yes. 24 THE COURT: And have you gone over it, all of the items that are set forth in here in great detail? 25

```
THE DEFENDANT:
 1
                             Yes.
             THE COURT: Mr. Troccoli, have you gone over this
 2
   Statement of Facts with Mr. Ahmed?
 3
             MR. TROCCOLI: Yes.
 4
             THE COURT: Do you-all care to add to the Statement of
 5
   Facts in any way?
6
 7
             MR. TROCCOLI:
                            No.
8
             THE COURT: Mr. Ahmed, do you care to add to the
9
   Statement of Facts in any way?
10
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No.
11
             THE COURT: Do you agree I do not have to read this to
   you because you read it with your lawyers?
12
             THE DEFENDANT:
13
                             Yes.
             THE COURT: Now, Mr. Ahmed, by pleading guilty, you
14
   are giving away your right to challenge any illegal search.
15
                                                                  Ιf
   the police illegally searched your home, your car, your
16
17
   computer, your papers, or effects or any statement you made to
   the police, you will not be able to challenge it as illegal
18
19
   when you plead guilty.
20
                Do you understand that?
21
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
22
             THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am a citizen of the United
23
24
   States and citizen of Pakistan.
25
             THE COURT: All right.
```

```
Mr. Troccoli, is this the complete agreement you've
 1
   entered to with the Government on behalf of Mr. Ahmed?
 2
             MR. TROCCOLI: Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: Are there any other agreements that are
 4
   not in writing?
 5
             MR. TROCCOLI:
                            No.
6
7
             THE COURT: All right. Y'all step aside just for a
8
   second.
9
                Mr. Kromberg.
             MR. KROMBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
             THE COURT: Is this the complete agreement you've
   entered into with the defendant and his counsel?
12
             MR. KROMBERG: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
13
14
             THE COURT: Are there any other agreements that are
   not in writing?
15
16
             MR. KROMBERG: No. Your Honor.
             THE WITNESS: Is the Statement of Facts accurate based
17
18
   upon the Government's investigation of the case?
19
             MR. KROMBERG: To the best of our knowledge, yes, Your
20
   Honor.
             THE COURT: Thank you.
21
22
                All right. Mr. Ahmed, come back to the podium,
   please.
23
                (DEFENDANT COMPLIES.)
24
25
             THE COURT: Mr. Ahmed, I've asked you a lot of
```

questions. Have you understood my questions? 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No. 4 THE COURT: All right. Well, then to the two charges 5 that we discussed earlier on counts one and two of the 6 7 indictment, to those two charges, sir, how do you plead, guilty 8 or not guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. THE COURT: Let the record reflect the Court has 10 11 questioned Mr. Farooque Ahmed and review with him the indictment, the Plea Agreement, the Statement of Facts. And 12 the Court finds that Mr. Ahmed has been informed of his rights, 13 14 and he understands his rights. He's been informed of the 15 nature of the charge against him and the consequences of his plea and the maximum penalty provided for by the statute. 16 The Court finds the plea of guilty is freely and 17 18 voluntarily made without any threats of coercion of any kind 19 and with the effective assistance of counsel. 20 The Court finds that Mr. Ahmed's statement is the 21 Statement of Facts. He admits his Statement of Facts, provides more than sufficient factual basis. The Court will find the 22 defendant guilty of the offenses as charged. 23 24 Let's select a date for sentencing. 25 Friday, July 15.

MR. KROMBERG: That's fine with the Government, Your 1 2 Honor. 3 MR. TROCCOLI: Your Honor, we -- we were going to suggest if the Court wanted to entertain sentencing today, that 4 Mr. Ahmed is prepared to waive preparation of the presentence 5 report and proceed today. July -- I think the Government 6 agrees with that and is prepared to go forward today as well. 7 8 If the Court does not want to do that, then we will --July 15th I believe is fine for counsel. 10 MR. KROMBERG: Mr. Troccoli is exactly right. discussed it, Your Honor, and it's -- I know that I've stood 11 before you in the past and said, Oh, no, we should definitely 12 13 have a presentence report. And this case, after speaking with 14 the defense, we recognize that maybe it's not necessary in this 15 case given the circumstances of the plea. 16 We're -- we're both prepared to go forward if Your Honor is interested, but we're also fine with having it on 17 18 July 15th. 19 THE COURT: All right. Well, the reason for a 20 presentence report is because the presentence report is used by 21 the Bureau of Prisons for many purposes, and without one then 22 they will not have the information to properly classify Mr.

without that, I'll do it today, but I think that prudence requires that we have the information collected for the Bureau

23

Ahmed and other things. If you-all are satisfied to go forward

of Prisons. 1 MR. TROCCOLI: In other circumstances where we have 2 waived presentence report saying post-sentencing report has 3 been prepared by probation, I think whatever BOP needs can be 4 satisfied that way, if the Court were to... 5 THE COURT: All right. Fine. I'll do it right now. 6 7 All right. Does the Government want to be heard on 8 sentencing in the matter? MR. KROMBERG: No, Your Honor. Everything that we 9 have to say is in the Statement of Facts. There's nothing in 10 addition. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. MR. TROCCOLI: Your Honor, may I just have a moment, 13 please? 14 15 THE COURT: Sure. (BRIEF PAUSE.) 16 MR. TROCCOLI: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 18 Your Honor, if the Court would permit, I would like 19 to just give you some background of Mr. Ahmed so the Court can 20 get a sense of his character and background. 21 He is 35 years old and a citizen of the United States. He was naturalized in 2003. He is married; has been 22 married since 2007. His wife is present here in court today. 23 24 They have a child, a two --THE COURT: Where is his wife? 25

MR. TROCCOLI: She's in the back.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for coming.

MR. TROCCOLI: He also has parents who reside in Staten Island, New York, and he has two siblings. He is -- Mr. Ahmed is the oldest of the children, but he also has a brother and a sister who are all very close. This is a very close and tight-knit family, Your Honor.

In part because the family -- aside from this incident, the family is really an immigrant success story. The father was a banker in the -- for the National Bank of Pakistan, was vice president at the bank. And got transferred, reassigned to a New York branch. This was back in approximately 1993. And came here and then subsequently brought his family here, including -- including Mr. Ahmed who was about 16 or 17 at the time that he was brought over from Pakistan.

And his family settled into Staten Island, New York, and from the beginning his father and his mother highly stressed education as one of the principle goals of bringing them to this country and having them stay here. In fact, his father, as I understand it, has two master's degrees himself.

But education being an important value for the family, that's in part what led Mr. Ahmed himself to go not only to graduate from high school in 1994 on Long Island, but also he attended the College of Aeronautics for approximately

two years. He graduated with a Bachelor of Science in Computer Science at the College of Staten Island in 2003, and he also studied, as he indicated earlier, towards a master's degree at the same school. I think he about a year and a half, if I'm not mistaken, of studies towards a master's degree.

So he -- the family, as does Mr. Ahmed, value very highly the -- really the opportunities that this country provided, especially in the way of education and employment.

Employment is what brought Mr. Ahmed to Virginia. While he was living in New York, he worked for Healthcare Services in Brooklyn while he was both in college and for some period of time after college. But then he moved down to Virginia in approximately 2004 to work for the patent and trademark office in Crystal City as a patent examiner. And that work was only temporary, and thereafter he got a job working for the Council for Islamic Relations in 2005. He worked doing outreach and sending out publications for about ten months with them, and then he segued into the area of his career in which he had studied at college, which was computer networking, computer science, computer network engineering and analysis.

And for the next approximately -- or for over four years, he worked for various companies in this area in that field, including working for Sprint in Reston, Virginia for about two years as a computer tech and network controller. He

also worked for a company known as Convergence in Tyson's Corner in 2008 on Unisys contract that they had. He worked for another company, Glotel, Incorporated, in Reston on a Verizon contract that they had doing network engineer type work. And he worked on their file system. And finally he -- his last job was with JMU Incorporated from approximately November 2009 to the date of his arrest in October 2010. They had an Ericsson contract, and he was a network planner and designer for them.

The downside of this type of work, although, is that in a good economy it's in high demand, and people like him are highly sought after. And in a bad economy, in tough times, they're laid off. And so there were periods of unemployment as well, especially when the economy went south.

And that's -- that's what he experienced, Mr. Ahmed experienced, moving into the mid- to late 2009 time frame, which is when the offense conduct effectively began. And I'm not going to go into the offense conduct since the Statement of Facts is fairly detailed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, if that's -- I'm not sure I understand. If you're not going to go into the Statement of Facts, the looming question here is why. Do you want to address that?

MR. TROCCOLI: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

And that's -- it's a complicated question in any criminal case, but I think it's especially complicated in a

case like this when terrorism hangs over everything. I would 2 posit that there are -- after spending many, many hours with Farooque and his family, Mr. Ahmed's family, I will offer the Court three contributing factors which brought -- which brings him here today.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First -- and I offer these not as an excuse, obviously, Your Honor.

> THE COURT: No. There are no excuses at all.

MR. TROCCOLI: These are in an attempt to find an explanation to the Court.

First, there's an incessant message that is delivered by radical followers of Islam that one cannot be true to the faith unless they take action, including violent action, most especially violent action. And this is a message which of course the United States combats in many different fronts. is an incessant message nonetheless that for a person like Mr. Ahmed who is a believer in Islam and is a Muslim, he hears all the time that he is not -- not only is he not sufficient under the faith, if one were to believe these negative messages, but he's also not patriotic because he was born and raised until he was 16 in Pakistan.

And of course that's where the front, if you will, of a lot of these battles, military and otherwise, is taking place on the border between Afghanistan and Pakistan. And he would hear, especially from individuals known -- including

Mr. Anwar al-Awlaki who the Court knows is now being sought by the United States, but who previously preached in this country that that is a message that can unfortunately take root in individuals who feel like if they don't do something, that they literally will not find salvation under their faith.

And secondly, Your Honor, there is Farooque Ahmed's own personal experiences and that of his family unfortunately includes periods of discrimination and racial and ethic prejudice. And this manifested itself unfortunately on -- in numerous occasions, both directed at him, his wife, his parents, his brothers. And -- and obviously that's not something that our country is proud of, but it exists because there are -- it's just the way unfortunately people behave.

But there are many instances where because of the way he looked, because of the way his wife dressed, because of his religion, his beard, that he was subject to this kind of discrimination and racial prejudice. And that has -- that has an effect on people. It creates resentment, and I think that that also was a contributing factor here.

A third contributing factor, of course, is the fact that there was a trusted confidant who turned out to be a confidential informant in this case. This was an individual working for the Government who led Mr. Ahmed to believe that he was not alone in this endeavor and that they were together. And this relationship fostered and encouraged, at least in Mr.

Ahmed's mind, to keep going and to keep getting deeper and deeper into really what was a fantasy role of secret codes and clandestine meetings and tasks that were assigned.

And what Mr. Ahmed started out of being interested in was the idea of setting up a computer network, a rather benign act is where his actions began. Talking about trying to set up some kind of website or system by which messages could be sent and where people could turn to for information about what is happening to the plight of Pakistani people and the people of Muslims in general.

From that, unfortunately, in meeting with the confidential informant, it evolved into things that became much beyond where he wanted it to go initially. The conversations evolved into agreeing or wanting to do what's known as weekend jihad. In other words, flying to Pakistan, crossing the border for a short period of time, engaging in some kind of violent jihad, and then returning back to quote/unquote your normal life. So the conversations evolved into that.

Then it evolved into, well, there are people who want to meet with you. And then a series of clandestine meetings were established where Mr. Ahmed agreed to attend those. And during those meetings it then evolved into the most criminal behavior that he's admitted to, which is agreeing to perform tasks that the quote/unquote al-Qaeda representatives assigned to him. And these were tasks that they chose, for the

most part, and asked him to do.

And he voluntarily agreed to do them, and the most -- the tasks that a representative in count two of the indictment include surveilling Metro stations, filming location platforms, station platforms with cell phone. He didn't do this filming himself, but he assisted and aided and abetted the individual who was with him, which was the confidential informant.

So I -- I don't know if that answers your question satisfactorily, but in our minds those are the three most contributing factors that led him to be standing here today.

In mitigation, however, I would point out a number of things. There's certainly a difference between one who creates the opportunity for a crime and one who accepts an opportunity that's presented. And I think we have here the latter.

As I indicated earlier, it wasn't Mr. Ahmed who consciously sought out to just help al-Qaeda plant a bombing in this region. That's not where it began to where it ended, but these were opportunities that were presented to him that unfortunately he accepted as they went along.

There's also a difference, Your Honor, between crimes which have real victims and real harm and those which are manufactured from a fictitious scenario, which again is what we have here. In other words, we have no real -- we have

a real crime, most certainly, but we don't have an actual bombing that was being planned and we don't have any real victims who were actually in threat of being harmed. And, of course, there was no real risk that a plot would ever take place. They arrested him in October of last year during the very last meeting, following the very last meeting that he had with one of the al-Qaeda couriers, or supposed al-Qaeda couriers.

And finally, Your Honor, maybe most significantly there -- there is a real difference between a real jihadist who continues to espouse a violent message, sometimes even standing at a podium like this in front of a judge. There's a difference between a person like that and somebody like Mr. Ahmed who comes forward, accepts responsibility, signs a Statement of Facts which is very detailed, and apologizes and says -- not only accepts what he did, but also says, What I did was wrong.

And I know the Court does not have the benefit of a lot of time with Mr. Ahmed, but when he has a moment to speak, he will apologize to the Court and to this country because he truly does love this country. And he truly does love his faith. And he believes that his actions -- his actions are contrary to the true teachings of Islam.

And he truly now -- now that he has essentially woken up, if I can use that phrase, from this fantasy world

that he was in, he has -- it's almost been like a bucket of water was thrown on him, and he shook his head and said, What on earth was I doing.

Had he only, for example, confided in his only brother, had he only confided in some of his friends, and even his wife, most of them -- every one of them that we have talked to would have shaken him and said, What are you doing?

And I think had that only happened, that perhaps he wouldn't be standing here today. And -- but he is standing here today accepting responsibility, admitting what he did was wrong, but he wants the Court to know that he certainly is not one of these people who here afterwards is going to be preaching any kind of violent message. In fact, the message he will be preaching is the exact opposite.

I would also just point out to the Court that the recommendation -- the joint recommendation from both sides is also based upon other similar or at least not dissimilar cases that have been sentenced throughout the country. And there are other instances where individuals have been -- have pled guilty to sting type of operations that have received sentences that are comparable. And so this recommendation of 23 years is the product let's say of many, many hours of very deliberate thought and comparison with other cases throughout the country and in meetings with the Government. And they're doing their own due diligence as to what is fair and just given the

particular facts and circumstances of this case.

THE COURT: I note that there's no recommendation about supervised release term, is there?

MR. TROCCOLI: There is not. But there are two other recommendations that we would ask the Court to consider, one of which is in the Plea Agreement.

First, we would ask that the Court state in the sentencing order that there's a recommendation -- a BOP designation with a security classification lower than administrative maximum. In other words, I don't think anybody is going to be standing before you suggesting that that kind of classification is necessary in this case. The same language appeared in the -- the Chesshir sentencing before Judge O'Grady back in February. And we would ask the Court to put that in this order as well, that a designation with a security classification lower than administrative maximum is recommended.

And secondly, we would ask for a recommendation that he be designated to a BOP facility in the northeast, preferably in New York or New Jersey. His family is in Staten Island principally, and he would like to be as close to them as possible.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ahmed, if you would come to the podium with your lawyers, please.

(DEFENDANT COMPLIES.)

THE COURT: Mr. Ahmed, is there any statement that you want to make in your own behalf?

THE DEFENDANT: I cannot describe word. All I can say, I'm sorry; wrong actions.

THE COURT: Mr. Ahmed, you're before the Court for attempting to provide material support to a designated foreign terrorist organization and collect the information to assist in planning a terrorist attack.

Grave, grave charges. A real threat to the security of women and children who -- and people -- working people who use the Metro every day.

I have listened to your lawyers describe what they thought your reasons were to enter into this plot. And obviously there's no -- none of these proposed facts explain why someone who, as your lawyer said, was an immigrant story of overcoming obstacles would lend themselves to something like this.

First, let me say, and I'm sure your lawyer intended to say this, there is no form of Islam that condones killing women and children and innocence. There's none. There is none that anyone can think of that -- and this has nothing to do with your religion. This has to do with your making a judgment to enter into a plan to kill people and to cause chaos in their lives.

Whatever discrimination you suffer, there are many

groups in this country who have suffered discrimination. I don't have to tell you that as an African-American that many people have suffered discrimination. But the way you manifest it is not to plot to kill people.

And what's disturbing is that, you know, you -- you came here. You immigrated here. Your family immigrated here. You come from a fairly prominent family. And for you to make this judgment, not once but on multiple different occasions to enter into this plan, suggests not only warped thoughts on your part, but an intent to cause grave harm to many, many people all at the same time - innocent people, women and children, like your own family members to cause chaos.

The Government and your lawyer have entered into an agreement that recommends a sentence of 23 years. One could question if that is enough time in a case like this given the enormity of it. But I'm persuaded that the Government and your lawyer have good reasons for entering into an agreement like this. And at 23 years in prison, in federal prison, is punishment that is proportionate to the crime.

But I'm not persuaded that a limited term of supervised release is appropriate here. I want to know what you're doing from now on, because anyone who can think about doing what you did and entering into as many conversations and go as many places and do all the many things you did deserves to be under the scrutiny of the Court for a very, very long

time.

So it is my judgment based upon the agreement that you-all have entered into, first, to impose a sentence that the Government and your lawyer have agreed to of 276 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons. That I recommend to the Bureau of Prisons as part of the agreement that they designate you at a security classification lower than the administrative maximum in the northeast. That the \$200 special assessment has to be paid right away. I will not impose a new fine, cost of incarceration, cost of supervision.

But I will place you on a term of supervised release for 50 years. I want to know where you are at every moment, and I want to know all of -- everything that you're doing. The special terms of conditions of supervised release are that you are to maintain gainful employment. You are to report at least monthly to probation for -- with information about where you live, where you work, and what activities you're engaged in. You are not to travel internationally without the permission of the Court during the term of supervised release.

You are to submit to and to allow, if you have a computer, that the Government will place software on your computer where they'll be able to surveil any keystrokes that you make on that computer.

You are not to associate with anyone -- associate

```
1
   with any known designated terrorist foreign organization, and
 2
   you are to provide to the Government -- I'm sorry -- the
   probation office with access to request of financial
   information, and to submit to searches at your home, place of
 4
   business at any time that the probation officer deems
 5
   appropriate.
 6
 7
                And all the other conditions of supervised release
   will remain.
                Thank you.
                            Remand you to custody at this time.
10
             MR. TROCCOLI:
                            Thank you.
11
             THE COURT: We are in recess.
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
12
             MR. KROMBERG:
                             All rise.
             THE LAW CLERK:
13
               (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 2:18 P.M.)
14
15
                                -000-
16
   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
17
18
                I, JULIE A. GOODWIN, Official Court Reporter for
    the United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia,
19
   do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
    from the record of proceedings in the above matter, to the best
20
   of my ability.
                I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
    related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
21
   which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not
22
    financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the
    action.
                Certified to by me this 27TH day of APRIL, 2011.
23
24
                                  JULIE A. GOODWIN, RPR
25
                                 Official U.S. Court Reporter
```