CGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney S. ROBERT TICE-RASKIN LAURA L. FERRIS 3 Assistant U.S. Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 4 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 5 MICHAEL MULLANEY 6 Acting Chief, Counterterrorism Section DAVID B. DEITCH Trial Attorney, Counterterrorism Section Criminal Division 8 U.S. Department of Justice 10th and Constitution Avenue, N.W. 9 Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 514-0849 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR. No. S-05-240 GEB 14 Plaintiff, PLEA AGREEMENT 15 16 UMER HAYAT, 17 18 Defendant.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I.

INTRODUCTION

A. Scope of Agreement: The Second Superseding Indictment in this case charges defendant Umer Hayat with Making False Statements to the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") (Counts Five and Six). The Information in this case charges defendant Umer Hayat with Making a False Statement to the FBI and U.S. Customs and Border Protection. This document contains the complete Plea Agreement

between the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of California and the Counterterrorism Section of the Department of Justice (collectively, the "government") and the defendant regarding this case. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of California, and the Counterterrorism Section of the Department of Justice, and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

Agreement. Sentencing is a matter solely within the discretion of the Court, the Court is under no obligation to accept any recommendations made by the government, and the Court may in its discretion impose any sentence it deems appropriate up to and including the statutory maximum stated in this Plea Agreement. If the Court should impose any sentence up to the maximum established by the statute, the defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw his guilty plea, and he will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this Agreement. The defendant understands that neither the prosecutor, defense counsel, nor the Court can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence he will receive.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

II.

A. Guilty Plea: The defendant will plead guilty to an Information charging him with violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001. The defendant agrees that he is in fact guilty of this charge and that the facts set forth in the Stipulated Factual Basis attached hereto as Exhibit A are accurate.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

B. Special Assessment: The defendant agrees to pay a special assessment of \$100.00 at the time of sentencing by delivering a check or money order payable to the United States District Court to the United States Probation Office immediately before the sentencing hearing.

III.

THE GOVERNMENT'S OBLIGATIONS

A. Dismissals: Provided that defendant abides by all his obligations under this Agreement, the government agrees to move, at the time of sentencing, to dismiss the underlying indictments against defendant with prejudice.

B. Recommendations:

- 1. Incarceration Range: Provided that defendant abides by all his obligations under this Agreement, the government agrees to recommend at the time of sentencing that he receive a sentence of time served. (As of the time of this plea agreement, defendant has served (in pretrial detention) approximately 330 days of incarceration and 30 days of home detention).
- 2. Acceptance of Responsibility: The Government agrees to recommend a reduction in the defendant's offense level for acceptance of responsibility under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, provided that the defendant manifests a full and clear acceptance of responsibility through and including the time of sentencing.

IV.

ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE

A. Elements of the Offense: At a trial, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements with respect to the Information charging a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

First, the defendant made a false statement in a matter within the jurisdiction of a federal agency; Second, the defendant acted willfully, that is deliberately and with

knowledge that the statement was untrue; and

Third, the statement was material to the federal agency's activities or decisions.

v.

MAXIMUM SENTENCE

- Maximum Penalty: The maximum sentence which the Court can impose is five (5) years of incarceration, a three year period of supervised release, a fine of \$250,000.00, and a special assessment of \$100.00.
- B. Violations of Supervised Release: The defendant understands that if he violates a condition of supervised release at any time during the term of supervised release, the Court may revoke the term of supervised release and require the defendant to serve up to two additional years imprisonment.

VI.

SENTENCING DETERMINATION

Α. Statutory Authority: The defendant understands that the Court must consult the Federal Sentencing Guidelines (as promulgated by the Sentencing Commission pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3742 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991-998, and as modified by United States v. Booker and United States v. Fanfan, 543 U.S. 220 (2005)) and must take them into account when determining a final sentence. Defendant understands that the Court will determine a non-binding and advisory guideline sentencing range for this case pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant

further understands that the Court will consider whether there is a basis for departure from the guideline sentencing range (either above or below the guideline sentencing range) because there exists an aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission in formulating the Guidelines. Defendant further understands that the Court, after consultation and consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines, must impose a sentence that is reasonable in light of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

- B. Stipulations Affecting Guidelines Calculation: The government and the defendant agree that there is no material dispute as to the following sentencing guidelines variables and therefore stipulate to the following:
 - 1. The parties agree that the November 1, 2005 Sentencing Guidelines apply to this case.
 - Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1, defendant's base offense
 level is 6.
 - 3. The Government agrees to recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable sentencing guideline offense level, as set forth in § III.B.2.
 - 4. The parties agree that no specific offense characteristics or adjustments apply to this defendant in this case other than those referenced above.
 - 5. Therefore, assuming that the defendant qualifies for a reduction under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, defendant's final adjusted offense level will be 4.
 - 6. The parties stipulate and agree not to move for, or argue in support of, any departure from the advisory guideline

range.

VII.

A. Waiver of Constitutional Rights: The defendant understands that by pleading guilty he is waiving the following constitutional rights: (a) to plead not guilty and to persist in that plea if already made; (b) to be tried by a jury; (c) to be assisted at trial by an attorney, who would be appointed if necessary; (d) to subpoena witnesses to testify on his behalf; (e) to confront and crossexamine witnesses against him; and (f) not to be compelled to incriminate himself.

B. Waiver of Appeal and Collateral Attack: The defendant understands that the law gives him a right to appeal his conviction and sentence. He agrees as part of his plea, however, to give up the right to appeal the conviction and the right to appeal any aspect of the sentence imposed in this case.

The defendant also gives up any right he may have to bring a post-conviction attack on his conviction or his sentence. He specifically agrees not to file a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 or § 2241 attacking his conviction or sentence.

Notwithstanding section III. A. above, if the defendant's conviction on the count to which he is pleading is ever vacated at the defendant's or the government's request, or his sentence is ever reduced at defendant's request, the government shall have the right:

(1) to prosecute the defendant on the count to which he pleaded guilty; (2) to reinstate any counts that may be dismissed pursuant to this agreement (even if dismissed with prejudice); and (3) to file any new charges that would otherwise be barred by this

agreement. The decision to pursue any or all of these options is solely in the discretion of the government. By signing this agreement, the defendant agrees to waive any objections, motions, and defenses he might have to the government's decision. In particular, he agrees not to raise any objections based on the passage of time with respect to such counts including, but not limited to, any statutes of limitation or any objections based on the Speedy Trial Act or the Speedy Trial Clause of the Sixth Amendment.

C. Waiver of Attorneys' Fees and Costs: The defendant agrees to waive all rights under the "Hyde Amendment," Section 617, P.L. 105-119 (Nov. 26, 1997), to recover attorneys' fees or other litigation expenses in connection with the investigation and prosecution of all charges in the above-captioned matter and of any related allegations (including without limitation any charges to be dismissed pursuant to this Agreement and any charges previously dismissed).

: The defendant understands that the offense with which he is charged is a felony and that he may insist that the Government charge him by way of an Indictment presented to and approved by a Grand Jury. Notwithstanding this fact, the defendant waives prosecution by Indictment and consents to proceeding by way of an Information. The defendant will execute a written waiver of Indictment in open court at the time he enters his guilty plea.

Defendant further understands that the offense charged in the Information arose within the Eastern District of Virginia and that he has a right to insist that the charge be filed in the Eastern

District of Virginia, and that all proceedings associated with the offense be conducted and adjudicated in the Eastern District of Virginia. Notwithstanding this fact, the defendant agrees and consents that the Information and all proceedings related to the Information (including his guilty plea, sentencing and any other related proceedings) may be conducted and adjudicated in the Eastern District of California.

DATED:

VIII.

ENTIRE PLEA AGREEMENT

Other than this Plea Agreement, no agreement, understanding, promise, or condition between the government and the defendant exists, nor will such agreement, understanding, promise, or condition exist unless it is committed to writing and signed by the defendant, counsel for the defendant, and counsel for the United States.

TX.

APPROVALS AND SIGNATURES

A. Defense Counsel: I have read this Plea Agreement and have discussed it fully with my client. The Plea Agreement accurately and completely sets forth the entirety of the agreement. I concur in my client's decision to plead guilty as set forth in this Agreement.

| Johnny L | . Gri: | ffin, | III. |
|----------|--------|--------|------|
| Attorney | for 1 | Defend | lant |

B. Defendant: I have read this Plea Agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand it, and I voluntarily agree to it. Further, I have consulted with my attorney

| 1 | and fully understand my rights with respect to the provisions of the |
|--------|--|
| 2 | Sentencing Guidelines which may apply to my case. No other promises |
| 3 | or inducements have been made to me, other than those contained in |
| 4 | this Agreement. In addition, no one has threatened or forced me in |
| 5 | any way to enter into this Plea Agreement. Finally, I am satisfied |
| 6 | with the representation of my attorney in this case. |
| 7 | |
| 8 9 | DATED: UMER HAYAT Defendant |
| 10 | |
| 11 | C. Court Certified Interpreter/Translator: I declare that I |
| 12 | am a court certified interpreter/translator. On, I |
| 13 | read the entire contents of the foregoing plea agreement to Umer |
| 14 | Hayat, translating the document from English to |
| 15 | |
| 16 | DATED: |
| 17 | Interpreter/Translator |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| 1 | D. Attorney for United States: We accept and agree to this |
|----|--|
| 2 | Plea Agreement on behalf of the government. |
| 3 | DATED: McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney |
| 4 | |
| 5 | By: |
| 6 | S. ROBERT TICE-RASKIN |
| 7 | Assistant U.S. Attorney |
| 8 | Dyr. |
| 9 | By: LAURA L. FERRIS Aggistant H. G. Athanya |
| 10 | Assistant U.S. Attorney |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

EXHIBIT "A"

Factual Basis for Plea

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, |)) No. Cr. S-05-240 GEB |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| V. UMER HAYAT, |) STIPULATED FACTUAL BASIS FOR PLEA OF GUILTY |
| Defendant. |)) |

Defendant Umer Hayat and the Government agree and stipulate that the following is an accurate description of the factual basis for the defendant's plea of guilty to the Information, charging defendant with Making A False Statement to the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001:

- 1. On or about April 19, 2003, Umer Hayat and his family, were traveling from California to Pakistan with an intermediate stop and change of flight at Washington-Dulles International Airport. The Hayat family entered a jetway at the Washington-Dulles Airport to board United Airlines Flight #924 and were detained by CBP Inspectors accompanied by an agent from the FBI.
- 2. CBP Inspector Martinez identified himself to Umer Hayat and asked defendant if he had anything to declare. Umer Hayat replied that he did not. Inspector Martinez again asked defendant if he had any cash with him to declare and reminded him that individuals who carry more than \$10,000 out of the United States had

1 to 2 ar 3 co 4 mo 5 as 6 st 7 ti 8 De 9

to declare that money. Defendant then stated that he had \$10,000 and produced two plain white envelopes from his waistband, each containing \$5,000. Inspector Martinez asked if there was any more money. Defendant replied that there was not. Inspector Martinez asked if the money was for himself or the entire family. Defendant stated it was for the whole family. The Inspector asked a second time if there was any more money (referring to the whole family). Defendant replied no.

3. At this point the family was advised that everyone and their bags would be searched for money. When Hamid Hayat (defendant's son) was approached by inspectors, he removed two plain white envelopes from his pockets, each containing \$5,000. When Oma Salma Hayat (defendant's wife) was approached, she indicated that she had money and subsequently produced a plain white envelope later determined to contain \$8,053. In total, as defendant then and there knew, the family was carrying an aggregate sum of \$28,053.