

A. <u>Introduction</u>

- 1. At all times relevant to this First Superseding
 Indictment, defendants Hamid Hayat and Umer Hayat were United States
 citizens. Umer Hayat was the father of Hamid Hayat. They resided,
 for a limited portion of time, in Lodi, California.
- 2. As used in this First Superseding Indictment, "jihad" is an Arabic word meaning "holy war." In this context, jihad refers to the use of violence, including paramilitary action, against persons, property or governments deemed to be enemies of a fundamentalist version of Islam. "Jihadist training" is paramilitary training received by individuals in preparation to wage jihad. A "jihadist training camp" is a facility where individuals receive jihadist training.

B. <u>Defendant Hamid Hayat's Provision of and Concealment of Material Support</u>

3. As set forth more fully below, commencing in or about March, 2003, in the Counties of San Joaquin and Sacramento, State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, and continuing through on or about June 4, 2005, defendant Hamid Hayat provided material support and resources, to wit, personnel in the form of his person; attempted to conceal and disguise the nature of such material support and resources; and concealed and disguised the nature of such material support and resources; knowing and intending that the material support and resources were to be used in preparation for, and in carrying out, a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2332b (Acts of Terrorism Transcending National Boundaries).

1 /

C. <u>Defendant Hamid Hayat's Jihadist Training</u>

- 4. Commencing in or about March, 2003, defendant Hamid Hayat indicated to a cooperating witness that he intended to travel to Pakistan.
- 5. On or about April 19 to April 21, 2003, defendant Hamid Hayat traveled from the United States to Pakistan via a commercial airline, a facility of interstate and international commerce.
- 6. At some point, no earlier than approximately Fall, 2003, defendant Hamid Hayat provided himself as a recruit to receive training at a jihadist training camp in Pakistan.
- 7. During a period of months sometime between Fall, 2003 and Fall, 2004, defendant Hamid Hayat attended the jihadist training camp in Pakistan and, among other things, received training in physical fitness, firearms, and means to wage jihad.

D. <u>Defendant Hamid Hayat's Intent to Wage Jihad in the United States</u>

8. On or about May 27, 2005, defendant Hamid Hayat departed Pakistan for the United States via a commercial airline, a facility of interstate and international commerce. At this time, defendant Hamid Hayat intended to return to the United States and intended, upon receipt of orders from other individuals, to wage jihad in the United States against persons within the United States and against real and personal property within the United States.

E. <u>Defendant Hamid Hayat's Concealment of His Jihadist Training and Plans to Wage Jihad</u>

9. On or about May 30, 2005, defendant Hamid Hayat's plane was diverted to Narita, Japan. When questioned by the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") on that day, defendant Hamid Hayat concealed the fact that he had received jihadist training, and that

- 10. On or about May 30, 2005, following FBI questioning, defendant Hamid Hayat was permitted to depart Japan for the United States. That same day, he returned to the United States via a commercial airline, a facility of interstate and international commerce.
- 11. On or about June 3, 2005, when questioned by the FBI in Lodi, California, defendant Hamid Hayat concealed the fact that he had received jihadist training, and that he had returned to the United States for the purpose of waging jihad.
- 12. On or about June 4, 2005, when questioned by the FBI in Sacramento, California, defendant Hamid Hayat concealed the fact that he had received jihadist training and that he had returned to the United States for the purpose of waging jihad.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2339A, and 3238.

COUNT TWO: [18 U.S.C. § 1001 - Making a False Statement]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

HAMID HAYAT,

defendant herein, on or about June 3, 2005, in the County of San Joaquin, State and Eastern District of California, in a matter within the jurisdiction of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, an agency of the United States, involving international and domestic terrorism, did knowingly and willfully make a false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement of material fact to a federal agent, to wit: that he was not involved in any way with any type of terrorist organization, that he never attended any type of terrorist training

camp, that he never attended a jihadist training camp, that he never attended a terrorist training camp in Pakistan, and that he would never be involved in anything related to terrorism, when, in truth and in fact as he then well knew, he had attended one or more jihadist terrorist training camps in Pakistan, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

COUNT THREE: [18 U.S.C. § 1001 - Making a False Statement]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

HAMID HAYAT,

defendant herein, on or about June 4, 2005, in the County of Sacramento, State and Eastern District of California, in a matter within the jurisdiction of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, an agency of the United States, involving international and domestic terrorism, did knowingly and willfully make a false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement of material fact to a federal agent, to wit: that he never attended a terrorist camp, that he never received any training directed toward a jihad against the United States, and that he never received any weapons training at a jihadist camp, when, in truth and in fact as he then well knew, he had attended one or more jihadist terrorist training camps, which included weapons training, in Pakistan, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

COUNT FOUR: [18 U.S.C. § 1001 - Making a False Statement]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

UMER HAYAT,

defendant herein, on or about June 4, 2005, in the County of Sacramento, State and Eastern District of California, in a matter within the jurisdiction of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, an

agency of the United States, involving international and domestic terrorism, did knowingly and willfully make a false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement of material fact to a federal agent, to wit: that he had no first hand knowledge of terrorist training camps in Pakistan that would prepare people to fight for jihad, and that his son, Hamid Hayat, did not attend any terrorist or jihadist training camps, when, in truth and in fact as he then well knew, he had visited various terrorist training camps in Pakistan, and Hamid Hayat had attended one or more jihadist terrorist training camps in Pakistan, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

A TRUE BILL.

/s/ Signature on file w/AUSA FOREPERSON

MCGREGOR W. SCOTT

United States Attorney