

4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CRIMINAL NO. 14-20232

v.

HONORABLE GERALD E. ROSEN

D-1 MOHAMMAD HASSAN HAMDAN,

VIO:18 U.S.C. § 1001 (a)(2)

Defendant.

FIRST SUPERSEDING INFORMATION

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES THAT:

COUNT ONE

(18 U.S.C. § 1001 (a)(2)) – False Statement to Federal Agent Involving Terrorism)

D-1 MOHAMMAD HASSAN HAMDAN

On or about March 16, 2014, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant, MOHAMMAD HASSAN HAMDAN, did willfully and knowingly make a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, by making false statements to Agents of the Federal Bureau of

FILED

2016 AUG 12 P 3:26

U.S. DIST. COURT CLERK
EAST DIST. MICHIGAN
DETROIT

Investigation (FBI) at the Detroit Metropolitan Airport, Romulus, Michigan when he said:

- 1) He never told anyone that he was leaving the United States to join Hezbollah; and
- 2) He never told anyone that he wanted to fight in Syria;

The statements and representations were false because, as MOHAMMAD HASSAN HAMDAN well knew, he had previously stated in recorded conversations with a confidential source that he was going to Lebanon to join Hezbollah so that he could fight with them in Syria. It is further alleged that this offense involved international terrorism, as Hezbollah is a foreign terrorist organization, continuously designated as such since October 8, 1997 to present,

which engages in terrorist activity. All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001.

BARBARA L. McQUADE
United States Attorney
Eastern District of Michigan

s/Jonathan Tukel
JONATHAN TUKEL (P41642)
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Chief, National Security Unit
211 West Fort Street, Suite 2001
Detroit, Michigan 48226
(313) 226-9749
Jonathan.Tukel@usdoj.gov


s/Ronald W. Waterstreet
RONALD W. WATERSTREET
(P42197)
Assistant U.S. Attorney
211 West Fort Street, Suite 2001
Detroit, Michigan 48226
(313) 226-9593
Ronald.Waterstreet@usdoj.gov

Dated: August 12, 2016

ORIGINAL

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Cover Sheet	Case Number 14-20232
--------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------

NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. Attorney signing this form to complete it accurately in all respects.

Companion Case Information	Companion Case Number:
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :	Judge Assigned:
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	AUSA's Initials: 

Case Title: USA v. Mohammad Hassan Hamdan

County where offense occurred : Wayne County, Michigan

Check One: **Felony** **Misdemeanor** **Petty**

Indictment/ Information --- no prior complaint.
 Indictment/ Information --- based upon prior complaint [Case number: 16-mj-30339]
 Indictment/ Information --- based upon LCrR 57.10 (d) [Complete Superseding section below].

Superseding Case Information


Superseding to Case No: 14-20232 **Judge:** Rosen

- Corrects errors; no additional charges or defendants.
- Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or adds counts.
- Embraces same subject matter but adds the additional defendants or charges below:

<u>Defendant name</u>	<u>Charges</u>	<u>Prior Complaint (if applicable)</u>
-----------------------	----------------	----------------------------------------

Please take notice that the below listed Assistant United States Attorney is the attorney of record for the above captioned case.

August 12, 2016
Date


 RONALD W. WATERSTREET
 Assistant United States Attorney
 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001
 Detroit, MI 48226-3277
 Phone: (313) 226-9100
 Fax: (313) 226-4678
 E-Mail address: ronald.waterstreet@usdoj.gov
 Attorney Bar #:

FILED
 2016 AUG 12 P 3:26
 U.S. DIST. COURT CLERK
 EAST. DIST. MICHIGAN
 DETROIT

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.